UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		Case 2:11-cv-01961-PMP-VCF	Document 12	Filed 08/21/12	Page 1 of 2	
DISTRICT OF NEVADA	1					
24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., Petitioner, WESLEY REESE, Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., Petitioner, VS. SIEGLINDE INCE, Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., Petitioner, VS. 2:11-CV-1947-PMP-GWF 2:11-CV-1947-PMP-GWF 2:11-CV-1961-PMP-VCF SIEGLINDE INCE, Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., Petitioner, VS. 2:11-CV-1999-PMP-GWF 2:11-CV-2000-PMP-PAL MARK DONNELLY, Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., Petitioner, VS. 2:11-CV-2000-PMP-PAL 2:11-CV-2000-PMP-PAL 2:11-CV-2000-PMP-PAL 2:11-CV-2000-PMP-PAL 2:11-CV-2000-PMP-PAL 2:11-CV-2000-PMP-PAL 2:11-CV-2000-PMP-PAL						
Stephen Randolph	2	24 HOUR FITNESS HOA ING		•		
Vs. 2:11-CV-1947-PMP-GWF	3		,			
Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., Petitioner, Petit	4	Petitioner,	}	<u>ORDER</u>		
Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., Petitioner,	5	VS.	}	2:11-CV-1947-	PMP-GWF	
8 et al., 9 vs. 10 vs. 11	6	WESLEY REESE,	}			
8 et al., 9 vs. 10 vs. 11	7	Respondent.				
9 vs. 3 2:11-CV-1961-PMP-VCF 10 SIEGLINDE INCE, 3 2:4 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., Petitioner, 12	8	et al.,	.,			
SIEGLINDE INCE,	9	,	}	2.11 CV 1061	DMD VCE	
11	10		}	2:11-CV-1901-1	PIVIP-VCF	
tet al., Petitioner, Vs. LAWRENCE DICKERSON, Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., Petitioner, Vs. MARK DONNELLY, Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC. et al., Petitioner, 25 Petitioner, 26 Petitioner, 27 Petitioner, 28 Petitioner, 29 Petitioner, 20 STEPHEN RANDOLPH Petitioner, 21 CV-2003-PMP-CWH 22 STEPHEN RANDOLPH	11	ŕ	}			
13	12	Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC.	.,			
LAWRENCE DICKERSON, Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., Petitioner, vs. 2:11-CV-2000-PMP-PAL MARK DONNELLY, Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC. et al., Petitioner, 22 Petitioner, vs. 2:11-CV-2003-PMP-CWH STEPHEN RANDOLPH	13		}			
16 Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al., 25 STEPHEN RANDOLPH 27 STEPHEN RAND	14	VS.	}	2:11-CV-1999-	PMP-GWF	
17 et al., 18 Petitioner, 19 vs. 20 MARK DONNELLY, 21 Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC. 22 et al., 23 Petitioner, 24 vs. 2:11-CV-2003-PMP-CWH 25 STEPHEN RANDOLPH	15	LAWRENCE DICKERSON,	}			
17 et al., 18 Petitioner, 19 vs. 20 MARK DONNELLY, 21 Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC. 22 et al., 23 Petitioner, 24 vs. 2:11-CV-2003-PMP-CWH 25 STEPHEN RANDOLPH	16	Respondent.				
19 vs. 2:11-CV-2000-PMP-PAL 20 MARK DONNELLY, 21 21 Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC. et al., 22 Petitioner, 21 23 Petitioner, 21 24 vs. 2:11-CV-2003-PMP-CWH 25 STEPHEN RANDOLPH 3	17	et al.,	,,			
20 MARK DONNELLY, 21 Respondent. 22 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC. et al., 23 Petitioner, 24 vs. 25 STEPHEN RANDOLPH 26 211-CV-2003-PMP-CWH	18	Petitioner,	}			
21 Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC. et al., 23 Petitioner, 24 vs. 25 STEPHEN RANDOLPH 21 Respondent. 24 100 25 2:11-CV-2003-PMP-CWH	19	VS.	{	2:11-CV-2000-	PMP-PAL	
22 et al., 23 Petitioner, 24 vs. 25 STEPHEN RANDOLPH 28 2:11-CV-2003-PMP-CWH	20	MARK DONNELLY,	{			
22 et al., 23 Petitioner, 24 vs. 25 STEPHEN RANDOLPH 28 2:11-CV-2003-PMP-CWH	21	Respondent.				
24 vs. 2:11-CV-2003-PMP-CWH 25 STEPHEN RANDOLPH	22	et al.,				
25 STEPHEN RANDOLPH	23	Petitioner,	}			
)	24	VS.	{	2:11-CV-2003-	PMP-CWH	
Respondent	25	STEPHEN RANDOLPH	}			
Tespondent.	26	Respondent.	}			
27	27					

	Case 2:11-cv-01961-PMP-VCF Document 12 Filed 08/21/12 Page 2 of 2				
1					
	24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., et al.,				
2	Petitioner,)				
3	vs. 2:11-CV-2052-PMP-PAL				
4	JASON M. PARSONS,				
5					
6	Respondent. 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC.,				
7	et al.,				
8	Petitioner.				
9	vs.) 2:11-CV-2053-PMP-VCF				
10	RAMONA L. ERVIN,				
11	Respondent.				
12	IT IS ORDERED that the Hearing set for Monday, September 17, 2012, at 10:00 a.m.				
13					
	in Las Vegas Courtroom 7C before Judge Philip M. Pro is hereby VACATED.				
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of the order of the court in related litigation				
15	in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, the present action				
16	is STAYED.				
17	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all outstanding motions are DENIED as moot.				
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court administratively close these				
19	cases until any party files a motion to lift the stay.				
20					
21	Dated: August 21, 2012				
22					
23	This M. On				
24	PHILIP M. PRO, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
25					
26					
27					
28					
40					